

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR J PA1220-C2 ECKSTEIN 08/886,881 07/02/97 **EXAMINER** IM62/0112 TARAZANO, D MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 227 W MONROE STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER CHICAGO IL 60606 1773 DATE MAILED: 01/12/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



Application No.

08/886,881

Applicant(s

Eckstein et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

D. Lawrence Tarazano

Group Art Unit 1773



X This action is FINAL.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  A shortened stauturory period for response to this action is set to expire	X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 1, 1999	·
in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	X This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).  Disposition of Claims  \[ \text{Claim(s)} \]		
Claim(s) 1-3, 7, 8, 10-16, 18, 35-37, 41-49, and 51   is/are pending in the application.   Of the above, claim(s)	is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to resapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of	spond within the period for response will cause the
Of the above, claim(s)	Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	X Claim(s) 1-3, 7, 8, 10-16, 18, 35-37, 41-49, and 51	is/are pending in the application.
Claim(s) 1-3, 7, 8, 10-16, 18, 35-37, 41-49, and 51   is/are rejected.     Claim(s)	Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claims	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claims		is/are rejected.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved	Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
The drawing(s) filed on	Application Papers	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev	iew, PTO-948.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	by the Examiner.
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved 🗖 disapproved.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).         □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been         □ received.         □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)         □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).         *Certified copies not received:         □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).         Attachment(s)         ☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892         □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).         □ Interview Summary, PTO-413         □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948         □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been   □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).   Attachment(s)  M Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s) □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	priority documents have been
□ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s) □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
*Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		· · · ·
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).</li> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>□ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)</li> <li>□ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> <li>□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>	•	
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>□ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)</li> <li>□ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> <li>□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>		iel 35 U.S.C. 3 115(e).
<ul> <li>□ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).</li> <li>□ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> <li>□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>□ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> <li>□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> <li>□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>		
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	<u> </u>	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		24.0444.0 0.050

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 15 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject

matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art

to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The

applicants recite that they are making LDPE materials by single site catalysis. It is well accepted in

the art that the term LDPE is a highly branched material made by a high pressure process. This

clearly goes against the art of single site catalysis which produces materials having low or no

branching (for example see Lai et al. 5,272,236 column 3, lines 47+). It is well accepted in the art

that low density polyethylene and linear low density polyethylene have different structures. It appears

that the applicants have made reference to the wrong material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the

applicant for patent.

3. Claims 1-3, 7-8, 10-16, 18, 35-37, 41-49, and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lai et al. (5,272,236).

Lai et al. teaches films made from polymers produced using single site catalysts, see example 10 and the abstract.

The materials are copolymers of butene, hexene and octene (column 3, lines 41+).

They can be formed into blends (column 14, lines 31+).

4. Claims 1-3, 7-8, 10-14, 16, 18, 35-37, 41-47, 49, and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hodgson et al. (5,376,439).

Hodgson et al. teach narrow molecular weight polyethylene materials that have been formed into films comprising high density polyethylene cores (column 2, lines 45+). While Hodgson et al. do not specify state the they are using single site catalysts, this can be inferred by their reference to the new generation of polymers having narrow molecular weights, in which recited properties are attributes of polymers made by metallocene catalysis. Furthermore, Exxon has been a pioneer in the area of metallocene catalysis. Since the properties of the polymers of the polymers recited by Hodgson et al. are the same as those polymers produced by single site catalysis, the limitations of the claims which are directed to a formed article have been met since the end product would be indistinguishable.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 11-1-99 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants state that Hodgson et al. (4,376,439) are not available as prior art since the applicants have an effective filing date of June 23, 1993; however, Hodgson et al. have an effective filing date of September, 16, 1992, since the patent in question is a continuation of 07/945,769 which was filed on that date. Lai et al. are also available as prior art since it has an effective filing date of October 15, 1991. The substance of the rejections under these patents have been maintained, but changed from 102(b) to 102(e) since the applicants have shown that they had continuity to the parent case 08/653,520.

The applicants state that LDPE can be produced by metallocene catalysis. The examiner continues to disagree. The examiner maintains that the term LLDPE and VLDPE have different structures from that of LDPE. LLDPE and VLDPE are linear materials where as LDPE is a highly branched species. These polymers have different properties and made by different routes of catalysis. The examiner points to Encyclopedia of Polymer Science's discussion of the structure of these two types of materials to support his position. The applicants points to "Enter a New Generation of Polyolefins" to support their position that single site catalysts can be used to produce LDPE (page 16, column 3) and that the term "LDPE" includes LLDPE and VLDPE. The passage in "Enter a New Generation of Polyolefins" is very brief and appears to go against the more extensive explanation in the Encyclopedia of Polymer Science. The examiner maintains that it continues to be unclear what is specifically meant by "Low Density Polyethylene" in the applicants claims 15 and 48 and how such

polymers are made by metallocence catalysis. The examiner maintains the position that LDPE materials have branching as shown by the Encyclopedia of Polymer Science, that materials produced by metallocene catalysis are linear in nature, and lack appreciable long chain branching and that these are not the same types of materials.

## Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Lawrence Tarazano whose telephone number is (703) 308-2379. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 08/886,881

Art Unit: 1773

The official fax number for the art unit is (703)-305-3599. The special fax number for amendments after final is (703)-305-5408. The number for unofficial faxes is (703)-305-5436.

D. Lawrence Tarazano Patent Examiner

January 11, 2000

Paul Thibodeau Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700